



**Brighton & Hove
City Council**

PLANNING COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

2.00PM, WEDNESDAY, 11 JANUARY 2017

**COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL, NORTON ROAD,
HOVE, BN3 4AH**

ADDENDUM

ITEM	Page
COUNCILLOR OBJECTION LETTERS APPLICATIONS B, D & I	1 - 6

From: Vanessa Brown
Sent: 11 March 2016 14:46
To: Liz Hobden
Subject: BH2015/04184

Dear Ms Hobden

Ref: BH2015/04184 Court Farm King George V1 Avenue

As a Councillor for Hove Park Ward which adjoins this site I wish to object to this application because of the problems with traffic accessing and leaving it. My residents live directly opposite the site and so will be more affected by these plans than the residents in Hangleton and Knoll Ward.

King George V1 Avenue is a very heavily used fast road directly off the roundabout at the junction of Dyke Road Avenue and the A27 . It is the main road into Hove. This proposed entrance to 74 flats is just a short distance from the roundabout.

The travel plan framework document states that the current access to the site is achieved "via a priority controlled T-junction" located on King George V1 Ave. I would dispute this. I would also question whether it would be a) feasible or b) safe to provide a right hand turn lane for vehicles turning right into the site from the North so close to the roundabout.

The report further states that a pedestrian refuge would be placed in King George V1 Ave for pedestrians to cross. This would be incredibly dangerous. The cars are supposed to travel at 40 m.p.h. at that point but most travel much faster down this steep slope.

I have grave doubts about these travel documents as they are full of inaccuracies. The number 21 bus does not run hourly in the evenings. There is no bus into town after 8.40 p.m. and the only evening bus to come back from Brighton is at 11.00 p.m. I therefore do not agree that the site is "well located with respect to a range of sustainable modes of transport". People are not going to want to walk or cycle from Hove up this very long steep hill and the bus service is very limited and unreliable.

The report also states there is a Post Office in Queen Victoria Ave. There is no Post Office in that road. Furthermore it says that the highway network is not subject to any defects and yet it has been proved many times that the camber of the road is wrong and therefore dangerous. There is also no detailed junction modelling provided.

For these road safety reasons I feel the above application should be refused.

Yours sincerely

Vanessa Brown

Sam Bethwaite

From: Mary Mears
Sent: 06 November 2016 12:43
To: Planning Applications; Charlotte Bush
Subject: Re Planning Application BH2016/02377

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Re Planning Application Bh2016/02377 11 Coombe Vale Saltdean

As a ward Councillor for Rottingdean Coastal Ward, I wish to support the above planning application.

My understanding is that this application still under 'Under Consideration' had a target date of the 2nd September?

Would be refused due to the revised drawing showing a 'Box Dormer', my understanding is the dormer would not overlook anyone and there are hundreds of box dormers in Saltdean., with residents already having box dormers built without any issues or refusals?

The extra space is badly need for their son

Should the application be refused again under delegated powers I wish this planning application to go to the planning committee and reserve my right to speak..

Kind regards.

Mary
Councillor Mary Mears
Conservative Member for Rottingdean Coastal Ward

Telephone 01273 294370
Brighton & Hove City Council
Email:mary.mears@brighton-hove.gov.uk

From: Mary Mears
Sent: 10 March 2016 11:52 AM
To: Planning Applications
Cc: Liz Arnold
Subject: Re Planning Application BH 2016/00320 67 Falmer Road Rottingdean

Liz Arnold.
Principle Planning Officer.
Planning Control.
Brighton & Hove City Council.

Re Planning application

BH2016/00320

67 Falmer Road Rottingdean..

As a ward councillor for Rottingdean Coastal, I wish to object to the above planning application for the following reasons:

In my opinion there is very little difference in this new application from the previous planning application BH 2015/02049 which was refused.

In my view this new planning application, does not address the reasons for refusal and the concerns raised in regards to the significant negative impact on the immediate neighbours and the wider community.

Not least Policy SU4 Surface water run-off and flood risk, Also the potential increase of parked cars along with the already serious adverse effects from increased traffic and pollution levels on the Falmer Road and the wider surrounding area.

Should the decision be minded to grant under delegated powers, I wish this planning application to go to the planning committee and reserve my right to speak.

Kind regards

.

Mary

Councillor Mary Mears

Ward Councillor for Rottingdean Coastal

Tel: 01273 294370

Blackberry 07795 336001

Email: mary.mears@brighton-hove.gov.uk